

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/650,435	08/28/2003		Paul D. Robbins	AP35301 072396.0261	7180
21003	7590	05/09/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER & 30 ROCKE		PI A7A		SAIDHA, TE	EKCHAND
44TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1652	

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/650,435	ROBBINS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tekchand Saidha	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ma	arch 2006.					
· ·	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 and 24-26 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are:		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

1. Election

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 17-23, filed 3/22/2006, without traverse is acknowledged.

Claims withdrawn :

Claims 1-16 & 24-26 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

2. Continuation of prior application

This application filed under 35 USC 119(e) lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. This application claims the benefit of US Provisional Application No. 06/, filed ..., should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Also, the present status of all parent applications should be included.

3. Priority

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), filed 11 August 2000, is acknowledged.

4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (first paragraph)

Written Description

Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. These claims are directed to a genus of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) polypeptide molecules comprising amino acid sequences capable of binding to a molecular chaperone and enhancing CFTR channel activity in any cell expressing any mutant CFTR with no defined structure of the

Art Unit: 1652

CFTR polypeptide, cell type where expressed or type/specificity of the mutation. [It is not clear what sequences make up the CFTR polypeptides].

specification does not contain any disclosure description of the structure(s) and/or function(s) of all CFTR polypeptide sequences having the desired characteristics, the claimed genus. The specification discloses a single polypeptide species construct that is capable of binding to a cytoplasmic chaperone, such as Hdj2 or Hsc/Hsp70, and enhancing CFTR channel activity in an epithelial cell expressing a mutant CFTR having a deletion of amino acid residue 508, wherein the CFTR polypeptide is linked to a internalizing peptide selected from SEQ ID NO: 1-20, to facilitate the delivery & uptake of the polypeptide into a target cell.

The single disclosed species is not representative of the genus claimed, or is insufficient to put one of skill in the art in possession of the attributes and features of all species within the claimed genus. Therefore, one skilled in the art cannot reasonably conclude that the applicant had possession of the claimed invention at the time the instant application was filed.

5. Enablement Rejection

Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a CFTR polypeptide (specific sequence) species construct that is capable of binding to a cytoplasmic chaperone, such as Hdj2 or Hsc/Hsp70, and enhance CFTR channel activity in an epithelial cell expressing a mutant CFTR having a deletion of amino acid residue 508, wherein the CFTR polypeptide is linked to a internalizing peptide selected from SEQ ID NO: 1-20, does not

Art Unit: 1652

reasonably provide enablement for any cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) polypeptide molecules comprising amino acid sequences capable of binding to any molecular chaperone and enhance CFTR channel activity in any cell type expressing any mutant CFTR with no defined structure of the CFTR polypeptide, cell type where expressed or type/specificity of the mutation.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The scope of the claims does not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to extremely large number of CFTR polypeptides broadly encompassed Since the amino acid sequence of a protein by the claims. determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and (i.e. expectedly intolerant conserved modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this the disclosure is limited to a single known CFTR polypeptide (250,000 base pairs (250Kb) (see specification, page 3) associated with cystic fibrosis as a result of deletion of a phenylalanine residue 508 (Δ F508), and the specific use of internalizing peptide to carry the cargo into the cell, measured by the presence of functional cargo in the cell.

Art Unit: 1652

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is <u>not</u> routine in the art to screen for multiple deletion or substitution or other modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) polypeptide molecules comprising amino acid sequences capable of binding to any molecular chaperone and enhance CFTR channel activity in any cell type expressing any mutant CFTR with no defined structure of the CFTR polypeptide, cell type where expressed or type/specificity of the mutation.

Thus, applicants have <u>not</u> provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (<u>In re Fisher</u>, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of exact nature of the CFTR polypeptide having the desired enzymatic characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See <u>In re</u> Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/650,435

Art Unit: 1652

6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (second paragraph)

Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- (a) Claim 17, line 1, recites the abbreviation 'CFTR'. The first use of an uncommon abbreviation must be spelt out, which may be abbreviated in the subsequent claims.
- (b) Claim 17, line 1, recites 'A CFTR polypeptide comprising amino acid sequences capable...'. The claim is unclear about what sequences comprise the single CFTR polypeptide..'. Clarification is requested.

Claims 18-23 are included in the rejection for failing to correct the defect present in the base claim(s).

7. **35 U.S.C.** § **101**

35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

"Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title".

Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed toward non-statutory subject matter.

In the absence of the hand of man, naturally occurring proteins and/or nucleic acids are considered non-statutory subject matter. Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 206 USPQ 193 (1980). This rejection may be overcome by amending the claim 17 to recite wording such as "An isolated polypeptide".

Art Unit: 1652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meacham et al. [The EMBO Journal, 18(6): 1492-1505 (1999). Meacham et al. describe cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) polypeptides as a chloride ion channel and therefore has CFTR channel activity, and which comprises two membrane-spanning domains (MSDs), two nucleotide-binding domains (NBD) and a regulatory (R) domain. Human DnaJ 2 (Hdj-2) is a co-chaperone of heat shock cognate 70 (Hsc70) which is localized to the cytosolic face of the ER. They report that immature ER forms of CFTR and Δ F508 CFTR can be isolated in complexes with Hdj-2 and Hsc70, indicating binding. The $\Delta F508$ mutation is localized in NBD1 and causes the CFTR to misfold. Levels of complex formation between ΔF508 CFTR and Hdj-2/Hsp70 were ~2-fold higher than those with CFTR. The earliest which Hdj-2/Hsc70 could bind CFTR translation intermediates coincided with the expression of NBD1 in the cytosol. The reference teaches all the claim limitations and therefore anticipatory. See abstract and the entire article.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1652

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-20 & 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meacham et al. [The EMBO Journal, 18(6): 1492-1505 (1999), as applied to claims 17-18 & 21 above, and further in view of USP 6,881,825 (Robbins et al. filing date August 31, 2000).

The teachings of Meacham et al. are described above in paragraph 8. Meacham et al. do not teach the internalizing polypeptides of SEQ ID NO: 1-20 to facilitate uptake and transport of cargo into the cytoplasm.

Robbins et al. teach a number a internalizing polypeptides to facilitate uptake and transport of cargo into the cytoplasm, including the sequences of SEQ ID Nos. 1-3 (claims 22 & 23), which are 100% identical to SEQ ID NO: 4, 5 and 21 respectively of the Robbins patent, as judged by eye-balling the sequences. See Tables 1-5, for example. The reference further teaches secretion leader sequences as well ability of the internalizing peptides to efficiently internalize cargo in wide variety of cell types both in vivo and in vitro. Robbins et al. further show the ability of the internalizing peptides to efficiently internalize cargo in the cell, e.g., the presence of CFTR protein may be demonstrated by the presence of functional chloride ion channel

Art Unit: 1652

in a cell original lacking CFTR (see column 11, lines 66-67 & column 12, lines 1-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to more efficiently express the CFTR polypeptide of Meacham et al. by incorporating the internalizing peptides of Robbins to efficiently carry cargo into the cell, by way of enhanced CFTR channel activity and expression, as such a teaching is not only suggested but is also demonstrated in the works of Robbins et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Meacham et al. and Robbins et al. in view of the importance of cystic fibrosis research to humans and more particularly the biogenesis of CFTR polypeptide having enhanced CFTR channel activity.

Thus, the claimed invention was within the ordinary skill in the art to make and use at the time was made and was as a whole, prima facie obvious.

10. No claim is allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tekchand Saidha whose telephone number is (571) 272 0940. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am - 5.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (571) 272 0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tekchand Saidha

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652 Recombinant Enzymes, 02A65 Remsen Bld. 400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: (571) 272-0940

May 4, 2006